G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1909
(Mungai)

File No. 762 - 09

Serial Nos. 164

Papers Filed.

Month July 1910

SUBJECT.

Sanctions the disbursement of the produce of the lands belonging to Sri Ramadevaru temple at Kadaba Gubbi Taluk, to Archik Rama Swami Bhatta

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
195 - 09	
166 - 09	

K. Ambadi Ramappa
Recorder

G.R.S.11] 1909-10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Inzgrai)

NOTES.

File No. 762-09

FILE HEADING.

Petition from Archak Ramaswamy Bhatta praying for the disbursement of the arrears of the produce of the Inam lands endowed to Sri Rama Devaru Temple at Kadaba, Subbi Tq.

From

Archak Ramaswamy Bhatta

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 29th August 09 Received

Diary No. 456	Enclos. –	Spare Copies –	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays for the disbursement of the produce of the temple Inam lands due for 29 years past and also for the removal from office of the other hissedar who has failed to perform Puje during the last 6 years.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Sl 2 To, the Dy Commr, Tumkur Dist

Docket no 638 dy 2nd Septr 1909

Forwards for report of the action taken.

To, Ramaswamy Bhatta,

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3 1 07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inam File No. 762 of 1909

Serial No.

Endt no 681 Dy 3.9.09.
Intimates the above.

3. From, the Dy. Commr, Tumkur Dis
Letter no 658 Dy 16.5.1910
Reports with records on the subject.

Attention is respectfully invited to G.O no 1761-2 Dy 2.10.07 in the recorded Collection of file no 166-06. In the concluding part of the order, it was directed that the Nyam who actually performed the Puje service should be given the produce of the lands for the previous years. As regards the Archakship, it was ordered that Ramaswamy Bhatta and Venkatavaradachari should work in turns for 3 years and 1 year respectively and enjoy 3/4ths and 1/4th share of the entire Inam lands.

Ramaswamy Bhatta says that as he was doing Puje during the years 1904-5 and 1905-6, the produce of these years might be given to him. In his report Dy 10th October 09, the Shekdar states that the produce of these 2 years was given by the

Note—Contn sheet

Serial No.

cultivators to Venkataramachar and Seeniveasa Bhatta (one of the former claimants to the post), that the former whereabouts are not known and the latter is dead, and that the exact income realized during these years cannot be ascertained

(Para 4) The Amildar reports that as per Govt orders, Venkataramachar could not be placed in possession of 1/4th share of the Inam lands, that it is not possible to make out the value of 1/4th share of the produce enjoyed by him during the said 2 years and that as Ramaswamy Bhatta has been enjoying the entire Inam lands inclusive of the part intended for the other hissedar since 1907, he has been compensated for the loss and suggests that no further action is necessary He adds that steps will be taken for the recovery of the Kandayam due from the lands for the year 1908-06.

(Para 5) The Sub Divl officer states that in addition to the 3 yrs. 1907-8 to 1909-10 during which Ramaswamy Bhatta has been in the enjoyment of the whole Inam

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

which is $\frac{1}{4}$th in excess of what rightly belongs to him, he may be continued in possession of the whole Inam for 5 years more so that these 8 years enjoyment of the entire Inam lands will compensate for the 2 years' entire produce due to him

Pass. under dispatch

The Dy. Commr invites reference to the reports of the Amildar and the Sub Divl officer and adds that Ramaswamy Bhatta has no grievance to complain of

As for the petn's prayer for the removal from office of the other hissedar for failure to perform Puje for some years past, the Dy Commr reports that this question will have to be considered after the lapse of 3 years after the passing of the Govt order, as according to this order Venkataramanachar's Turn for the Puje accrues only then which fact has been communicated to Venkataramana Achar ie from October 1910.

x 22-oct-07.

Submitted. The difficulty arises if when Venkata Ramanachar appears & claims his ¼ share from the date of Govt order ie 2.10.07.

29/6/10

Note—Contn sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 762 of 1909

Serial No.

The facts of this case will be clear from the notes in files 94 of 1909 and 166 of 1906 put up herewith.

The present prayer of petr. Ramasawami Bhattar is practically what he urged prior to the order of Octr. 1907 in File No. 166 of 1906 (Vide Notes on that case) His contention was disallowed by the ~~Board and District~~ local officers and their view was supported by Govt.

The organ says that he should be offered ~~Broomward~~ ~~Doc~~ prepaid and should continue in possession of all the Inam lands. He also prays for the grant of the two years 1904-5 and 1905-6 in accordance with the penultimate form of the order of Octr. 1907.

It is now reported that the grant of these years was paid to Venkatavaradachari and Ramasawami Bhattar and the latter is dead and his heirs' whereabouts are not known and that under the circumstances it is not practicable to grant the grant of the two years delivered to petr.

It is therefore noted that as petr. is in possession of the entire lands he is compensated. This does not appear to be strictly correct. petr. remained in possession of all the lands for four years but worked for only three. Some other man worked with the remuneration for one year, petr. is therefore compensated for the loss of one year's grant, but if petr. is entitled for four years also he will have had his remuneration for service and there can be no compensation.

As the grant of the two years was delivered to Ramasawami Bhattar

Note—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

also, Venkataramanadoss if he should have to object to compensate for his produce taken by persons Bhatta.

Petr is not likely to admit the fallacy of compensation ~~that he is compensated~~. The contention has always been that he should be sole Pujari in possession of all the known lands and that he should get his produce of 1904-5 and 1905-6 during which he worked as Pujari

Apparently it is not possible to determine his produce to petr. The only course open is to adopt the fallacy of compensation and appoint petr ~~sole pujari~~ who is in possession of all the known lands sole pujari for a period of eight years from ~~the date of~~ Oct 1907 or direct petr to remit to a limited limit

As pointed out by the Manager Venkataramanadoss undertaking up a story that his produce is not determined between 1904-5 and 1905-6; and it might be that the cultivators who have obtained receipts are taking capital out of his pocket between the authorities. Venkataramanadoss's appearance is however to be welcomed as it will facilitate an enquiry

Draft order submitted to the Commissioner for approval or other orders.

Abdul Rahman Raja

I do not see that the petr is entitled to

80.

Though the proposed decision leaves the objection from the

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

out by the Undersecretary, still it is the only practical relief that we can give to the petr. We have no means of enforcing a refund of what has been received by others already. The draft order is therefore approved.

Issue

11/7/10

13/7/10

Issue
29/7/1910

4. To, The Dy Commr, Tumkur Dist

G.O no 302 Dy 29-7 1910

Rejects Ramaswamy Pillai's prayer for being appointed as sole Superintendent approves of the Dy Commr's recommendation to allow the petr to continue in possession of all the Inam lands for 8 years to compensate for the non delivery of the produce for 1904-5 and 1905-6.

To, Auchala Ramaswamy Chetty

Endt no 302 Dy 29-7 1910

Communicate. The intimation of the above

ఆ-నం (22)

Refer in Minutes

ఫైల్ నం. 456

762-09-1

All
Refer already
Sandoppe in
computerized Tenders

[illegible]

I [illegible]

II [illegible]

25-11-08 - 14-12-08 - [illegible] 09 [illegible]

3 [illegible]

III [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Reminder

Muz. 1]

190

From

To

The Dy. Commr
Kurnool Dist.

Dated

Despatched 2nd September 1909

Received

General No. 629

~~No.~~ Mi-4 Forming ~~764~~ 09

Enclosure No. 762

In continuation of the marginally noted communications from this office, has the honor to forward herewith petition regd no D456 dt 29th August 1909 from Ramaswamy Bhattar trustee of the Sri Rama Devaru temple at Kadalur praying for the disbursement of the produce of the Inam lands.

Ex. o. no. 1761-2 Dt 2-10-09
Docket no. 347 Dt 21-7-09

G P B—3,000—18-9-07

of the temple due to him for the past 2 years and also for the removal from office of the other hissedar ~~from office~~ ~~as he~~ who has failed to ~~report himself for duty~~ perform puja during last for 4 or 5 years' ~~past~~

2) The result of the action taken on the Govt order cited above should as already requested, be intimated for the information of Govt

By order
31/8/1909
for Secretary
W 2/8/09

681/M-7.[illegible]-04. తేది 3-9-09

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 0.450 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

By order,
31/8/09
for Secretary

D 214

Inus. 190 ~~701-09~~ 762-09

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District.

To

The Secretary to Govt
of Mysore Gen and Rev
Departments
(Muzrai)
Bangalore

Dated 16th May 1910

Despatched 17

Received

General No. 658

No.

Enclosure No 1 file sent separately

Reporting on the petition of one Ramasami Bhatta, Archak of Sri Ramadevaru Temple at Kadaba, Gubbi Taluk.

a. V.
VII
Continue note -
RB
18/5/10

18/5/1910

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District
Dated 16th May 1910

From

K. Chandy Esq
Offg. Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District .

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq B.A.
Offg. Secretary to Government of His
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and revenue Departments
Bangalore . (Mysore)

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 638 / M.F. 125 -07 dated 2nd September 1909 forwarding a petition from Ramaswami Bhatta Archak of the Sri Ramadevaru temple at Kadaba , praying for the disbursement of the produce of the Inam lands for the previous years etc, I have the honour to invite reference to the Government Order No 1761-2 of 2nd October 1907 directing that Ramaswami Bhatta and Venkatavaradachar should work in turns for 3 years and one year respectively , and that as regards the Inam lands they need not change hands, ¾ of the share permanently remaining with one Pujari and ¼ with the other, and that the Pujari who actually did the Puja service during the years 1904-1905 and 1905-1906 should get the produce of the lands for those years. On receipt of the order, the Amildar was directed to give effect to it . From the records received from the Sub Division Officer , it is seen that the emple Inam lands were in the enjoyment of one Srinivasa Bhatta ne of the former claimants to the Archakship , since deceased, Venkatavaradachar The cultivators of these lands were exa- and in their statements made in July 1909, they say that the revious year 904 1905 and 1905-1906 was handed - nd Venkatavaradachar , but that

no receipt of payment was demanded from the receivers of the produce as it was not the practice to do so. Srinivasa Bhatta is reported to have died about September 1909 . The Amildar reports in his Camp No 5 dated 27th December 1909 , that the jodi on the Inam lands for 1904-1905 is paid and that for -- 1905-1906 is still outstanding , and that for ~~the~~ its recovery steps are being separately taken . He states also that it is not possible to ascertain from any reference to the Pahani Takhtas, etc, the exact quantity of the produce delivered to the parties and that the only available data , in the absence of ~~the statement of~~ Archak Venkatavaradachar whose whereabouts are not known , are the statements of these cultivators who are stated to have deposed from memory . The Amildar further adds that there is no necessity for taking steps for the recovery of the produce for 1904-1905 and 1905-1906 , as the present Archak Ramaswami Bhatta has been in the enjoyment of the ¼ hak of the Inam lands assigned to Venkatavaradachar from the year 1906-1907 and has thus been compensated for the 2 years' old dues claimed by him .

2. As regards the removal of Venkatavaradachar from his office of hissedar owing to his default to perform Puja since July 1904 as urged by the Petitioner, the Amildar in his above report states that this question will have to be considered after the lapse of 3 years after the passing of the Government order referred to above, as according to the said order Venkatavaradachar's turn for the Puja accrues only then, which fact had been duly communicated to Venkatavaradachar .

3. The Sub Divisional Officer agrees generally with the above report and adds that the petitioner has to continue in possession of the entire Inam lands longer if the claim advanced by him is to be fully satisfied, but observes that the recovery of the arrears of the produce, as now claimed summarily is not possible . Ramaswami Bhatta, it is seen from the above, has no grievance to complain of.

4. The file of vernacular records relating to the case is herewith forwarded for reference.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

K. Chandy

Offg. Deputy Commissioner.

Compd
G.S.

G.R.S. 28.]

File No. ~~701-09~~ 762-12

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, the 19

Date of issue 29. 7. 10.

Signed by

READ—

Govt. order No. 1761-2 dated 2nd Oct. 1907 directing that Ramaswami Bhatta and Venkatavaradachar should perform Puja in the Sri Rama-Devaru Temple at Kadaba by turns for three years and one year, respectively,

ORDER THEREON.—No.

three-fourths and one-fourth of the Inam lands and that the person who actually performed puja in the past should get the produce of the lands.

Petn. Regd. No. 456 dated 3rd August 1909 from Ramaswami

over Bhatta

SUBJECT.

GPB—5,000—7-5-09

Bhatta, praying that as he performed puja in 1904-05 and 1905-06, the produce of the Inam lands for these years may be delivered to him and further requesting that he be appointed Sole Pujari and continued in possession of all the inam lands, as Venkatavaradachar has never performed puja.

Letter No 658 dated 16th May 1910, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, reporting on the above Petition.

order thereon. No. 352

Ramaswami Bhatta's prayer that he should be appointed Sole Pujari was once before urged before the order read above, was passed and was disallowed.

Government see no reason to re-open now.

As regards the produce for

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

that it was delivered to Srinivasa Bhatta and Venkatavaradachar, that the former is dead and the whereabouts of the latter are not known and that therefore it is not possible to have it delivered to Petitioner Ramaswami Bhatta who actually performed puja in these years. It is therefore recommended that to compensate Petitioner for this loss, he be allowed to continue in possession of all the Inam lands for a period of 8 years from the date of the order. as above. This recommendation is approved.

Dbt) 30/6/910
For offg. Secretary.

To.

The Dy. Commissioner, Tanjore Dt., etc. records.

11/7/10

No 303 Dt: 7 July 1910

Ms: 762 07

సెక్రటరీ ఆఫ్ స్టేట్ వారు మాంబాసా వారి

నవల్ల మంబాసా జిల్లాలో వారి ఆధ్వర్యాన నిర్మించే రింగు రోడ్డు

రోడ్డు నిర్మించుటకు—

(తులనాత్మకం).

నుస్సా కాల్యాకర్ గ్రామం దేవరదేవనాభాద

ఆధీన రాయితీలు ఇవ్వబడినవి—

ఈ దరిదేవనాభాదకు సంబంధించి ఇంకనూ

నవల్ల మందిగానాలు ఏనగల్ల కేంద్రీకరించి కొంతచేరకంగలు

ఇలాంటి ప్రదేశాలు మంచుమంచు ఆధీనరహితాలనగా కలిగినచో కేంద్ర

నవల్ల కలిపుకొని మరి కొంత 1909 కంటే ముందుగా ఆనాటి

3 కలుపుకొని 4 వరకు గ్రామాల ఆధీన కలుపుకొని

ఇవి మరి రోడ్డు లేదా రహదారి బంధ్యకేవల్ల రోడ్డు నిర్మించాలనుకుంటే

ఇలాంటి ప్రదేశాలు దేవనాభాదకు మంచుమంచు ఆధీనరహిత

నగనగ కలిగిన నేలలు ఆనాలంగజేయడం మందిగ్రామాలు ఆనాటి

ప్రయుక్త ఆదేశాలు కలిగిన ప్రాంతాలు చేరగలవు ఆనాటి

1904-05 మరియు 1905-06

రోడ్డు

గానాలు నవల్ల అయ్యాంచేత్ నవలుపైన్ గ్రామాలు

మరియు కొంత పెరగడం చేతనే కొంత తగ్గిన అయినవి వదిలి

అయిన ప్రకారం గ్రామాలు పైన పైన నవల్ల మరి

చేతనే అయినవి వల్ల వల్ల వల్ల వల్ల వల్ల వల్ల

కొంత నేలలు ఆధీనరహితాలు అయ్యాయి కనుక ఆ ప్రకారం

వారి నిర్మాణాలు 1907 లో అయిన ఆధీనరహితాలు

అంటే వారు ఉపయోగించి అయ్యాంచేత్ ఆధీనరహిత

ఇవి నేలలు కంటే ఆధీనరహితాలు మరి దగ్గరగా కేంద్రీకరించి

రోడ్డు లేదా రహదారి నిర్మించాలనుకుంటే ఆదేశాలు

మంజూరు చేయబడినవి.

By order,

30/6/1910

For offg. Secretary

Dft) 11/7/10